

HITCHAM ROAD, HAG HILL LANE, HAG HILL RISE, CAVENDISH CLOSE,

WAITING RESTRICTIONS

APPENDIX D

On which road would you like to comment on?	Do you agree with the advertised proposals on 'No Waiting At Any Time' restrictions in Hag Hill Lane, Hag Hill Rise, Cavendish Close, Hitcham Road?	Do you agree with the proposed 'No Waiting At Any Time' restriction in Hag Hill Lane, Burnham?	Do you agree with the proposed 'No Waiting At Any Time' restriction in Hag Hill Rise, Burnham?	Do you agree with the proposed 'No Waiting At Any Time' restriction in Cavendish Close, Burnham?	Do you agree with the proposed 'No Waiting At Any Time' restriction in Hitcham Road, Burnham?	I object on the grounds of:	Would you like to make a comment?	TFB Comments
Road	Yes / No	Yes / No	Yes / No	Yes / No	Yes / No	Comments	Comments	
Hitcham Road	No Comment	No Comment	No Comment	No Comment	Yes		Would like to know what is being done to address the lack of parking that is causing the obstructions on Hitcham Road (particularly at weekends)	With regards to the Bishop Centre and the the parking. this is down to the management company for the complex. TfB can only undertake waiting restrictions on the highway.
Hag Hill Lane	No Comment	No	No Comment	No Comment	No Comment	The double yellow lines on the junction of haghill lane and haghill rise will restrict visitor parking all year round. There is already a very serious parking issue for residents of the haghill estate . The parking issue for inconsiderate or dangerous parking is only experienced at exceptionally busy shopping on a few days of the year. These extra yellow line proposals were never part of the traffic scheme suggested and put forward to residents originally many months ago. The extra lines will be an unwanted and unnecessary expense.		Thank you for your comments, they have been included as part of the Statutory Consultation Feedback. With regards to the waiting restrictions on Hag Hill Lane & Hag Hill Rise. This is in keeping with with the Highway code.
Hag Hill Rise	No Comment	No Comment	Yes	No Comment	No Comment		Although this is a good suggestion, if there are to be yellow lines also along Hitcham Road, this will only move the car parking into resident area.	Thank you for your comments, they have been included as part of the Statutory Consultation Feedback.

HITCHAM ROAD, HAG HILL LANE, HAG HILL RISE, CAVENDISH CLOSE,

WAITING RESTRICTIONS

APPENDIX D

On which road would you like to comment on?	Do you agree with the advertised proposals on 'No Waiting At Any Time' restrictions in Hag Hill Lane, Hag Hill Rise, Cavendish Close, Hitcham Road?	Do you agree with the proposed 'No Waiting At Any Time' restriction in Hag Hill Lane, Burnham?	Do you agree with the proposed 'No Waiting At Any Time' restriction in Hag Hill Rise, Burnham?	Do you agree with the proposed 'No Waiting At Any Time' restriction in Cavendish Close, Burnham?	Do you agree with the proposed 'No Waiting At Any Time' restriction in Hitcham Road, Burnham?	I object on the grounds of:	Would you like to make a comment?	TFB Comments
Road	Yes / No	Yes / No	Yes / No	Yes / No	Yes / No	Comments	Comments	
Hag Hill Lane	No Comment	No	No Comment	No Comment	No Comment	<p>My daughter and I park our cars outside the back of my property as we are unable to park on Bath Road if front of my property. I have lived here 18 years and have always parked on Hag Hill lane. It has become increasingly difficult for residents and their visitors to park since the redevelopment of the Bishop centre. I am concerned that parking will be impossible with the no waiting restrictions. I would like residents parking permits to be issued to ensure we are able to park at all times. I am concerned as my daughter has a serious mental health condition and we need immediate access to our cars to get emergency treatment. I take care of my one year old nephew and need my car outside my home to bring him and his belongings in safely. I assist my elderly disabled neighbour who also needs accessible parking. I have discussed this matter with her and she has expressed an interest in resident parking permits. We are also concerned that our visitors will be unable to park. We would like resident parking permits for our visitors.</p>		<p>Thank you for your comments.</p> <p>Residents parking would require further full consultation as it would displace parking in the general area, additional funding would be required to undertake this wider scheme</p>

HITCHAM ROAD, HAG HILL LANE, HAG HILL RISE, CAVENDISH CLOSE,

WAITING RESTRICTIONS

APPENDIX D

On which road would you like to comment on?	Do you agree with the advertised proposals on 'No Waiting At Any Time' restrictions in Hag Hill Lane, Hag Hill Rise, Cavendish Close, Hitcham Road?	Do you agree with the proposed 'No Waiting At Any Time' restriction in Hag Hill Lane, Burnham?	Do you agree with the proposed 'No Waiting At Any Time' restriction in Hag Hill Rise, Burnham?	Do you agree with the proposed 'No Waiting At Any Time' restriction in Cavendish Close, Burnham?	Do you agree with the proposed 'No Waiting At Any Time' restriction in Hitcham Road, Burnham?	I object on the grounds of:	Would you like to make a comment?	TFB Comments
Road	Yes / No	Yes / No	Yes / No	Yes / No	Yes / No	Comments	Comments	
Hag Hill Rise	No Comment	No Comment	Yes	No Comment	No Comment		<p>I would like to see the non resident parking restriction extended up the western end of Hag Hill Rise.</p> <p>Currently we already have shopping trolley's left in the road from the Bishop Centre shops. Any displaced parking will merely extend the problem further. This restriction should not be necessary to the existing residential parking congestion.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comments.</p> <p>Residents parking would require further full consultation as it would displace parking in the general area, additional funding would be required to undertake this wider scheme</p>

HITCHAM ROAD, HAG HILL LANE, HAG HILL RISE, CAVENDISH CLOSE,

WAITING RESTRICTIONS

APPENDIX D

On which road would you like to comment on?	Do you agree with the advertised proposals on 'No Waiting At Any Time' restrictions in Hag Hill Lane, Hag Hill Rise, Cavendish Close, Hitcham Road?	Do you agree with the proposed 'No Waiting At Any Time' restriction in Hag Hill Lane, Burnham?	Do you agree with the proposed 'No Waiting At Any Time' restriction in Hag Hill Rise, Burnham?	Do you agree with the proposed 'No Waiting At Any Time' restriction in Cavendish Close, Burnham?	Do you agree with the proposed 'No Waiting At Any Time' restriction in Hitcham Road, Burnham?	I object on the grounds of:	Would you like to make a comment?	TFB Comments
Road	Yes / No	Yes / No	Yes / No	Yes / No	Yes / No	Comments	Comments	
Hag Hill Lane	No Comment	No	No Comment	No Comment	No Comment	<p>I have a disabled blue badge and often cannot park close to my house which has the rear entrance in Hag Hill Lane. Also several,of the houses near the Bishop Centre do not have garages or maybe two cars and will not be able to park near their houses. We keep requesting permit parking which would solve the problems for the residents. Believe me we would police it the. OST would be a one off with signs placed at the beginning of HHL at each end and at the entrance of the estate and Cavendish Close. These type of signs seems to work perfectly well in other local places w I thought huge expense. I'm sure residents would not mind paying a nominal fee for the permits. Please give consideration to residents who were promised at the outset of the Bishop Centre that parking for residents would not be a problem. Well that never happened and it has been a disaster.</p>		<p>Thank you for your comments.</p> <p>With regards to permit parking - Buckinghamshire County Council are looking at the Burnham area on a wider scale for future scheme's.</p> <p>If you are a current blue badge holder, please apply for a disabled space on Hag Hill Lane.</p>

HITCHAM ROAD, HAG HILL LANE, HAG HILL RISE, CAVENDISH CLOSE,

WAITING RESTRICTIONS

APPENDIX D

On which road would you like to comment on?	Do you agree with the advertised proposals on 'No Waiting At Any Time' restrictions in Hag Hill Lane, Hag Hill Rise, Cavendish Close, Hitcham Road?	Do you agree with the proposed 'No Waiting At Any Time' restriction in Hag Hill Lane, Burnham?	Do you agree with the proposed 'No Waiting At Any Time' restriction in Hag Hill Rise, Burnham?	Do you agree with the proposed 'No Waiting At Any Time' restriction in Cavendish Close, Burnham?	Do you agree with the proposed 'No Waiting At Any Time' restriction in Hitcham Road, Burnham?	I object on the grounds of:	Would you like to make a comment?	TFB Comments
Road	Yes / No	Yes / No	Yes / No	Yes / No	Yes / No	Comments	Comments	
All roads	Yes						Can you reassure cllrs that you will have additional enforcement people in place to manage these extra roads? Your current team can't cope with what they have and enforcement in the village is inconsistent and poor	Enforcement is carried out by NSL they carry out the enforcement on behalf of Buckinghamshire County Council.
Hag Hill Lane	No Comment	No	No Comment	No Comment	No Comment			No comment received regarding the waiting restrictions
Hag Hill Rise	No Comment	No Comment	No	No Comment	No Comment	I object to Hag Hill Rise being included in the statement yet not appearing on the plan as changing. It took more effort than needed because the plan was not on-line when the proposal was pushed through the door.	Hag hill	All of the information and documentation was available to view on-line at the Buckinghamshire County Council website under 'Have your say'

HITCHAM ROAD, HAG HILL LANE, HAG HILL RISE, CAVENDISH CLOSE,

WAITING RESTRICTIONS

APPENDIX D

On which road would you like to comment on?	Do you agree with the advertised proposals on 'No Waiting At Any Time' restrictions in Hag Hill Lane, Hag Hill Rise, Cavendish Close, Hitcham Road?	Do you agree with the proposed 'No Waiting At Any Time' restriction in Hag Hill Lane, Burnham?	Do you agree with the proposed 'No Waiting At Any Time' restriction in Hag Hill Rise, Burnham?	Do you agree with the proposed 'No Waiting At Any Time' restriction in Cavendish Close, Burnham?	Do you agree with the proposed 'No Waiting At Any Time' restriction in Hitcham Road, Burnham?	I object on the grounds of:	Would you like to make a comment?	TFB Comments
Road	Yes / No	Yes / No	Yes / No	Yes / No	Yes / No	Comments	Comments	
Hag Hill Lane	No Comment	Yes	No Comment	No Comment	No Comment		What if any restrictions/ protection will be given to the grass verge in Hag Hill Lane to prevent the shoppers from destroying it	Where the 'no waiting at any time restrictions' apply, the carriageway and grass verges are enforceable

HITCHAM ROAD, HAG HILL LANE, HAG HILL RISE, CAVENDISH CLOSE,

WAITING RESTRICTIONS

APPENDIX D

On which road would you like to comment on?	Do you agree with the advertised proposals on 'No Waiting At Any Time' restrictions in Hag Hill Lane, Hag Hill Rise, Cavendish Close, Hitcham Road?	Do you agree with the proposed 'No Waiting At Any Time' restriction in Hag Hill Lane, Burnham?	Do you agree with the proposed 'No Waiting At Any Time' restriction in Hag Hill Rise, Burnham?	Do you agree with the proposed 'No Waiting At Any Time' restriction in Cavendish Close, Burnham?	Do you agree with the proposed 'No Waiting At Any Time' restriction in Hitcham Road, Burnham?	I object on the grounds of:	Would you like to make a comment?	TFB Comments
Road	Yes / No	Yes / No	Yes / No	Yes / No	Yes / No	Comments	Comments	

COMMENTS BY EMAIL / LETTER

Road	Comments regarding the waiting restrictions	Support / Objection	TFB Comments
Hitcham Road / Hag Hill Lane / Hag Hill Rise	<p>Would like to express my thoughts on the proposals</p> <p>Currently at the weekends particularly traffic along Hitcham Road outside of Tescos is nothing short of a headache. With cars parked along both sides of the road, including the pavements, there is only enough room for one lane of traffic. In this respect i support the plans to impose double yellow lanes. This however, in my view, is not going to solve the problem. The root of the traffic problems stems from insufficient parking at the Bishops Centre development. I see no plans to rectify this issue meaning that the traffic ,in all likelihood, will move along to the Hag Hill Lane and Hag Hill Rise areas.</p> <p>With the recent emergency housing being erected across the road we have already been invaded by a barrage of workmans vehicles in recent weeks. To the extent that cars are parked along Hag Hill Lane are forcing cars onto the wrong carriageway at a blind corner.</p> <p>Since the Tesco development there has been an evident uplift in traffic along Hitcham Road. The only increase in traffic i have seen along Hag Hill Lane/Rise is from cars wishing to park rather than from through traffic.</p> <p>It is not clear to me on the benefit of turning Hag Hill Lane into a one way street as effectively you will be diverting the local resident traffic along Hitcham Lane where the current issues are at their worse.</p> <p>Additinally with the proposal i am astonished that the proposal has effecticely narrowed a two lane road into one lane of traffic and made absolutely no provision for pedestrians or cyclists. This is very shortsighted. I would suggest that whoever drew the plans together has probably not visited the area.</p> <p>As such i do not support the proposal to turn Hag Hill Lane into a one way street in its current guise</p>	Objection	<p>Thank you for your comments.</p> <p>TfB can only undertake waiting restrictions on the highway.</p>

HITCHAM ROAD, HAG HILL LANE, HAG HILL RISE, CAVENDISH CLOSE,

WAITING RESTRICTIONS

APPENDIX D

On which road would you like to comment on?	Do you agree with the advertised proposals on 'No Waiting At Any Time' restrictions in Hag Hill Lane, Hag Hill Rise, Cavendish Close, Hitcham Road?	Do you agree with the proposed 'No Waiting At Any Time' restriction in Hag Hill Lane, Burnham?	Do you agree with the proposed 'No Waiting At Any Time' restriction in Hag Hill Rise, Burnham?	Do you agree with the proposed 'No Waiting At Any Time' restriction in Cavendish Close, Burnham?	Do you agree with the proposed 'No Waiting At Any Time' restriction in Hitcham Road, Burnham?	I object on the grounds of:	Would you like to make a comment?	TFB Comments
Road	Yes / No	Yes / No	Yes / No	Yes / No	Yes / No	Comments	Comments	
Hag Hill Lane / Hag Hill Rise						<p>I wish to object to the above extra yellow lines in these locations. This extra proposal was never in the original traffic calming suggestions, put to the local residents many months ago. Parking in the Haghill estate is already very full.</p> <p>I live on haghill rise and I will be directly affected by the extra yellow lines opposite my property. The problem with inconsiderate and dangerous parking is only encountered on exceptionally busy shopping days numbering few during the year.</p> <p>On the rest of the days of the year parking for visitors or deliveries etc would be adversely and unnecessarily affected. In my opinion these yellow lines would be a further burden to residents and a waste of public money .</p>	Objection	<p>Thank you for your comments.</p> <p>The Hag Hill Lane / Hag Hill Rise junction 'no waiting at anytime' restrictions is part of the Highway code</p>
Hag Hill Lane						<p>I am a resident who lives on the bath road and my back entrance backs onto hag hill lane near the new tescos. I park my car on hag hill lane can u please tell me where am i going to park if you put yellow lines in Hag hill lane!!!!</p>	Objection	<p>Thank you for your comments.</p> <p>The no waiting at any time restrictions are just the junction's of Hag Hill Lane / Hag Hill Rise.</p>
Hitcham Road, Hag Hill Lane & Hag Hill Rise						<p>Good afternoon</p> <p>We have posters added to poles in the streets proposing yellow lines to be added on a residential area due to excess parking by the Bishops centre which houses Tesco, Nike, Home sense etc. we do not need yellow lines on all the areas listed on the poster. Although the bottom end I understand is that they are already getting people parking their cars and vans at the end near the Shops of Hag Hill rise, I don't believe Cavendish Close would be affected, having double lines will only cause greater problems for the home owners and visitors for parking.</p> <p>The area we believed this should be applied is in Hitcham Road both sides and Hag Hill Lane, and the bottom end of both Hag Hill Lane allowing the residents to park as not everyone has a drive, and the same on Hag Hill Rise.</p> <p>But also the roads around both Hag Hill Rise and Hag Hill Lanes are disgusting with pot holes and they need to be repaired</p>	<p>Support for Hitcham Road and Hag Hill Lane, near the Bishop Centre</p> <p>Otherwise objection to other adjacent roads.</p>	<p>Thank you for your supportive comments regarding the restrictions on Hitcham Road and Hag Hill Lane</p> <p>with regards to the restrictions on Hag Hill Rise, these restrictions are in keeping with the Highway code.</p>

WINDSOR LANE AND LINCOLN HATCH LANE

WAITING RESTRICTIONS

APPENDIX D

Which road would you like to comment on	Do you agree with the proposed restrictions on Windsor Lane, Burnham?	Do you agree with the proposed restrictions on Lincoln Hatch Lane, Burnham?	I object on the grounds of:	Would you like to make a comment?	TFB Comments
Windsor Lane	No		<p>I object on the grounds of:</p> <p>We would like to object to your implementation of parking restrictions on Windsor Lane for a number of reasons. Firstly, we have asked for clarification on the reasons for wanting to introduce the no waiting restrictions along the stretch of Windsor Lane as we found the generic reasons to be insufficient information. However despite contacting BCC no clarification has been given so it makes citing our concerns a lot more difficult. If one of the reasons for introducing the waiting restrictions is to ensure the bus route is not obstructed then that's something we understand as the bus occasionally gets blocked in the upper section of Windsor lane, outside of Parkgate. This is the area where there is parking on both sides of road and as such there is a pinch point. However the stretch by the library has no such issues and we believe that by removing so many parking spaces this would make the situation more dangerous as it would actively encourage people to park on the opposite side of the road where there is no pavement. There are considerably fewer spaces along the North side of the road as there are driveways, but most importantly having no pavement means people will have to park against a hedge. This will make it much more difficult especially for parents with children in a car seat or the infirm. Currently cars parked along this stretch of road open their doors directly onto the pavement on the nearside so passengers on that side are safe when they exit the vehicle. Parking along the hedge removes access to half the car and forces the other half to cross the road to go onto their destination. It is simply not safe to park against the hedge. Burnham Park Hall and the library do not have enough parking in their car parks and as such the road is regularly used by people attending corporate and community events including the Donkey Derby, Burnham Christmas Fair and Baby and Mother sales. Having a look at the space on the road I estimate the waiting restrictions along Windsor Lane will remove around 22 safe places to park, forcing people to utilise around 12 spaces on the North side which are far less suitable as stated above. Burnham Park hall has around 70 spaces (estimated) so this will reduce easy access to the hall for their larger events causing their larger customers to go elsewhere. It will also make it more difficult to park in order to access the high street. Given the high parking charges that are still levied against shoppers using the village car park this will further damage trade at a time when shops are barely able to afford rent and rates. An additional issue with forcing people to park on the North side is that at which point past the Library would you expect them to revert to the South side? People always prefer to park against the pavement for safety, so this will result in either: 1. Parking on the North side until past the library, at which point it will revert to the south side... creating a new pinch point for the bus 2. Parking on the North side all the way down Windsor lane, reducing car parking spaces by maybe 25% with all the openings. We live on Windsor Lane and cars parked against the hedge on either side of our drive would effectively box us in and we would have no safe way of crossing the road or pulling out of our drive as we would have no sight of oncoming vehicles. We have two young children and walk to school daily. This situation would be simply unacceptable and very dangerous - negating the aim of 'avoiding danger to persons'. The hedges along this stretch are designed to provide a certain amount of visibility splay for safety. Pulling out of the drive past cars parked either side will undermine this design and is likely to cause accidents. So, in summary: 1. It is much safer to park against the pavement than the hedge 2. There doesn't seem to be a justification to remove so many well used parking spaces which are well located and not obstructive, it will impact the bottom line of the hall and local businesses. 3. The design of the waiting restrictions will move the problem with bus obstruction further down Windsor lane. 4. The waiting restrictions will cause issues for local residents pulling out of their drives past cars parked against the hedge. An Alternative solution might be: 1. Reduce the waiting restrictions to the very top of Windsor Lane opposite the bus stop for around 15/20 metres until the road straightens up. 2. Introduce waiting restrictions on the visibility splay outside Parkgate (10m either side, North side of road). This is where the problem with bus obstruction is created. You can even see this problem directly on street view, cars should not be parking in the area either side of the Parkgate opening:</p> <p>Without the above change we don't see this as a credible approach to solving any traffic issues along Windsor Lane, it will have a negative effect on the majority of stakeholders and so should we believe shobe replanned.</p>		<p>Thank you for your comments regarding the waiting restrictions on Windsor Lane.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to the restrictions will stop parking on the eastern side of Windsor Lane by the residential houses, and will continue to allow some parking on the West side of Windsor Lane which may prevent parking on the eastern side at these locations.</p>

WINDSOR LANE AND LINCOLN HATCH LANE

WAITING RESTRICTIONS

APPENDIX D

Which road would you like to comment on	Do you agree with the proposed restrictions on Windsor Lane, Burnham?	Do you agree with the proposed restrictions on Lincoln Hatch Lane, Burnham?	I object on the grounds of:	Would you like to make a comment?	TFB Comments
Windsor Lane	No		It will cause parking problems for Burnham as the road is used for overflow of Burnham Library, Burnham Park Hall and residents family and friends.		<p>Thank you for your comments, they have been noted as part of the Statutory Consultation Feedback.</p> <p>The Councils vision for parking is to allow parking were available, restrict parking were needed and enforce fairly, allowing some parking on Windsor Lane complies with the council's vision for parking</p>
Windsor Lane	No		My objections/concerns are ...this will force cars to park on the opposite side which has considerably fewer spaces as there are driveways but most importantly no pavement so you'll have to park against a hedge. So what happens when you need to belt up or take out a child from that side? Plus you'll have to take kids out onto the road and passing traffic and then cross said road. It will also considerably reduce parking for any local event. People going to the Christmas fair, Donkey derby, popular events at Burnham Hall or the library all rely on being able to park there when the car park is full, which happens very regularly! I do not see any good reason to have them there at all.		<p>Thank you for your comments, they have been noted as part of the Statutory Consultation Feedback.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to the restrictions will stop parking on the eastern side of Windsor Lane by the residential houses, and will continue to allow some parking on the West side of Windsor Lane which may prevent parking on the eastern side at these locations.</p>
Windsor Lane				Can you reassure cllrs that you will have additional enforcement people in place to manage these extra roads? Your current team can't cope with what they have and enforcement in the village is inconsistent and poor	Enforcement of the waiting restrictions to be carried out by NSL, the parking contractor, who work on behalf of Tfb.
Windsor Lane	No		Whilst there are issues with the way vehicles park on Windsor Lane, to completely remove parking when the road is wide enough to allow some parking safely is an over-reaction. There needs to be a balance. Keep the parking on the East side of the road (either side of parkgate but not directly on the corner to Lincoln Hatch Lane (which falls under normal road safety regulations anyway!)). There is only an issue with parking when people either park on both side of the road or they park on the bends.		Thank you for your comments regarding the waiting restrictions on Windsor Lane.
Windsor Lane	No		We struggle with parking as it is. We currently have people from high street, estate agents and goodness knows who else that do not live here, parking outside our houses. It causes a lot of issues when arriving home on a daily basis, especially with a car load of shopping or elderly family members. I propose we have parking permits for residents ONLY to stop unwanted cars parking on our streets. Also permits for visitors maybe they are able to Park in Burnham Hall Car Park with a permit?		<p>Thank you for your comments regarding the waiting restrictions on Windsor Lane,</p> <p>Residents parking would require further full consultation as it would displace parking in the general area, additional funding would be required to undertake this wider scheme</p>

WINDSOR LANE AND LINCOLN HATCH LANE

WAITING RESTRICTIONS

APPENDIX D

Which road would you like to comment on	Do you agree with the proposed restrictions on Windsor Lane, Burnham?	Do you agree with the proposed restrictions on Lincoln Hatch Lane, Burnham?	I object on the grounds of:	Would you like to make a comment?	TFB Comments
COMMENTS BY EMAIL / LETTER					
Road				Support / Objection	TFB Comments
Windsor Lane	<p>With reference to the above consultation, whilst any restriction on inappropriate parking is to be applauded, the effect of it on some other residential areas can be detrimental. As a resident of St. Peter's Close, (and a born-&-bred Burnhamite) I have already seen an increase in our road being used as a free car park since parking at Burnham Park Hall car park has been subject to time limitation. We now see many more cars here with vehicles parked on both sides of the road. This can prevent the passage of ambulances and fire engines and also wheelchair users on the pavements. An elderly neighbour told me she recently politely pointed out to a woman that she had parked across the dropped kerb at the end of the road, thus making it very difficult for a wheelchair user who lives in the road, to cross. "What's it got to do with you?" came the rude reply as the woman stalked off.</p> <p>One of the particular problems also caused, is that cars persistently park right up to the end of The Precincts junction with Stomp Road which can make it impossible for vehicles approaching from left and right on Stomp Road and those turning right from St. Peter's Close to pass each other.</p> <p>Could the council please consider continuing the double yellow lines from Stomp Road for at least 10 metres on both sides of the entrance to The Precincts so that residents can exit St.Peter's Close without risk of collision? Could the Council please also consider setting up a parking permit scheme for residents who happen live close to the village?</p> <p>Please consider these comments when coming to a decision, and if parking restrictions are introduced, perhaps the extension of yellow lines could be carried out alongside these new restrictions.</p>			Parital Support / Objection	Thank you for your comments regarding the waiting restrictions on Windsor Lane, and the effect it will have on the surrounding roads.
Windsor Lane	<p>I have seen the proposed No Waiting AT Any Time proposals for this road and would comment as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Yes, something needs to be done, but this will drastically impact legitimate users of both Burnham Park Hall and Burnham Library when existing car parks are full, especially many elderly and disabled users of the library whose activities and facilities provide one of the few positive aspects of their lives. 2. The problem, I believe, is being exacerbated by individuals working in shops and businesses in adjacent Burnham High Street who are looking for free parking instead of using the increasingly expensive and unaffordable Council Car parks servicing the High Street. These car parks often have many empty spaces while the proposed roadway around the village green and adjacent to the library is jammed with cars. A more sympathetic parking fee arrangement for Council owned car parks might ease this problem considerably, as well as encouraging shoppers to support shops and businesses in Burnham High Street. 3. The Library offers various meetings and functions for the elderly & disabled. I understand that Blue Badge holders will be exempt from the restrictions provided their badges are correctly displayed?. 4. Are yellow lines going to be applied to one side of Windsor Lane, or both? It is unclear from the drawing. 5. To deter employees working in Burnham High Street from parking in this area, would you be prepared , as an alternative option, to apply "timed restrictions" such at No Parking between 9 am – 10 am and 3 pm – 4 pm, or similar hours, which break the day up and prevent cars being left there all day during working hours ? I believe this system operates in streets near Burnham Station and is very effective at preventing All Day commuter car parkers 			Parital Support / Objection	<p>Thank you for your comments regarding the proposals.</p> <p>Blue Badge holders, will be exempt from the restrictions, once a valid badge has been displayed.</p> <p>Proposed amendment to the restrictions will stop parking on the eastern side of Windsor Lane by the residential houses, and will continue to allow some parking on the West side of Windsor Lane which may prevent parking on the eastern side at these locations.</p> <p>Timed restrictions - The proposed restriction will restrict all day parking at the proposed location</p>